1 2 3 4	John W. Holcomb (Bar No. 172121) john.holcomb@kmob.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 700 Riverside, CA 92501 Telephone: 951-781-9231 Facsimile: 949-760-9502	Reza Mirzaie (Bar No. 246953) reza.mirzaie@kmob.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310-551-3450 Facsimile: 310-551-3458
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant IRISE	
6 7 8 9	Kai Tseng (Bar. No. 193756) ktseng@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401	James C Brooks (Bar. No. 129680) jbrooks@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: 213-629-2020 Facsimile: 213-612-2499
10 11 12 13 14	Donald E. Daybell (Bar. No. 210961) ddaybell@orrick.com Mark J. Shean (Bar No. 217671) mshean@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614 Telephone: 949-567-6700 Facsimile: 949-567-6710	
15 16	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant AXURE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC.	
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	WESTERN DIVISION	
20	IRISE, a California corporation,	Case No. 08-CV-03601 SJO (JWJx)
21	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL OF
22	V.	ACTION, WITH PREJUDICE, PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)(2) OF
23	AXURE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC., a California corporation; and	THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE
24	INTEGRATED ELECTRICAL SERVICES, INC., a Delaware	
25	corporation,	Hon. S. James Otero
26	Defendants.	
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM	
28		,

ORDER 1 The Court, having reviewed the "Stipulation of Dismissal of Action, With 2 3 Prejudice, Pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure" Plaintiff and Counterdefendant iRISE 4 and Defendant 5 Counterclaimant AXURE SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, INC., and good cause appearing therefor, hereby ORDERS as follows: 6 The above-captioned action, including all claims and counterclaims 7 1. 8 asserted therein, is hereby dismissed, with prejudice, pursuant to the Settlement Agreement between the parties, with each party to bear its own costs and fees. 9 This Court retains jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of 10 2. 11 ensuring the parties' compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement. IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 S. James Oters 13 September 29, 2009 14 Dated: UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 15 7866339 16 092809 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28